



**METROPOLITAN  
POLICE**

**TOTAL POLICING**

**Form for Applying for a Summary Licence Review**

**Application for the review of a premises licence under section 53A of the Licensing Act 2003**  
(premises associated with serious crime, serious disorder or both)

**PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST**

Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form. If you are completing the form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink.

**Use additional sheets if necessary.**

**Insert name and address of relevant licensing authority and its reference number:**

**Name:** Police Constable Nicola McDonald

**Address:**

Wembley Police station, 603 Harrow Road

**Post town:** Wembley

**Post code:**

HA0 2HH

**Ref. No.:**

01QK/172/17/157

**I Police Constable Nicola McDonald 157QK**

**on behalf of the chief officer of police for the Metropolitan Police area apply for the review of a premises licence under section 53A of the Licensing Act 2003.**

**1. Premises details**

**Postal address of premises or club premises, or if none, ordnance survey map reference or description:**

Ruby Lounge (Mezzanine Floor), 1 Poppin Commercial Centre, South Way Licence number 575291

**Post town:**

Wembley

**Post code:**  
(if known)

HA9 0HB

**2. Premises licence details**

**Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate (if known):**

Mr Kuppusamy Kirupakaran

**Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known):**

575291

**3. Certificate under section 53A(1)(b) of the Licensing Act 2003 (Please read guidance note 1)**

**I confirm that a certificate has been given by a senior member of the police force for the police area above that in his opinion the above premises are associated with serious crime or serious disorder or both, and the certificate accompanies this application.**

**Please tick the box to confirm:**



PROTECTIVE MARKING

4. Details of association of the above premises with serious crime, serious disorder or both

(Please read guidance note 2)

Ruby Lounge is a late night venue that attracts mainly Asian male customers where they can watch and participate in Asian style of dancing with female traditionally dressed dancers. These female dancers are of various nationalities, they are paid cash notes by the customers in receipt for a dance. The Premises licence holder leases the venue for this purpose to Mr Frank CHIPAN. The designated premises supervisor Mr Vamanaganesha Sriharan is usually present at the venue however has limited control of the activities as Mr CHIPAN's staff manage the premises. One of his staff is Mr Paul KELLY currently the Bar manager. This male, until recently and after a separate summary review on Crystal Sport and Shisha Bar, in the same building (same premises licence holder), was the designated premises supervisor. Due to a recent troublesome history of Mr Kuppusamy's venues in South Way, Brent Police Licensing team have had numerous meetings and regular communication. In particular Brent Police Licensing received allegations of assaults and weapons being used by unauthorised door supervisors.

On 15<sup>th</sup> March 2017 I had a meeting with the sub-leaseholder Mr CHIPAN, his legal representative Mr Graham HOPKINS, his venue manager Mr Eric BRYAN Mr Redah MADOURI the managing director of VIP Security Taskforce and legal representatives for Mr Kuppasamy. The main reason for the meeting was to ascertain the door supervisors at the venue were registered with the Security Industry Authority (SIA) and that they were being employed correctly by a SIA authorised employee. It became apparent Mr CHIPAN is not authorised by the SIA and that the door supervisors had been working in breach of the legislation. Mr MADOURI had been brought in by Mr CHIPAN for his company to work the doors, however the unusual request was made by Mr CHIPAN that VIP Security Taskforce employ Mr CHIPAN's current door supervisors. Mr MADOURI explained that this could not happen immediately, that he was willing to take on Mr CHIPAN's door supervisors if they 'checked out OK'. This issue was left that Brent Police Licensing would be contacted to confirm the contract between VIP security taskforce and Mr CHIPAN. It was made clear to all parties that door supervisors could not be working on the premises employed directly by unauthorised persons. Requests have been made with Mr HOPKINS, Mr MADOURI and Mr SRIHARAN for confirmation of this contract.

On 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2017 at approximately 0515 hours Police were alerted by Brent Council CCTV operator to a fighting and disturbance outside the front of Ruby Lounge, South way, Wembley. Police also received three 999 calls at 0512 hours from males stating there was fighting, 'his friend was being punched by security staff'. Police Officers that attended the scene described the customers has heavily intoxicated, so much so that statements could not be taken and it was very difficult to ascertain what had happened. Footage from the Police Officers Body Worn Video recorders clearly shows the customers permitted levels of intoxication at the venue and visible facial injuries. There was two door supervisors employed at the venue Mr LUTHER and Mr HENNI. I am aware that these two males do hold a SIA authorised badge, they are Mr CHIPAN's regular door supervisors and not confirmed to be employed legally by an authorised employer. Also present at the scene was Mr CHIPAN, Mr KELLY, Mr BRYAN and the designated premises supervisor Mr SRIHARAN.

From subsequently viewing the council CCTV footage it clearly shows the premises emptying of a substantial amount of intoxicated male customers. All the males above can be clearly seen outside the front of the premises attempting to deal with the remonstarting drunk customers. Serious disorder then breaks out whereby the door supervisors Mr CHIPAN and Mr BRYAN are clearly seen punching several customers to the floor/in the road and then kicking them in the head, one male appears to be momentarily unconscious.


From subsequently viewing the CCTV footage from within the premises just prior to the disorder the intoxicated levels of the customers is clear to see.

Crime allegations have been recorded and are under current investigation by Detectives

There is no faith in the premises licence holder or the designated premises supervisor to operate the venue whilst upholding the premises licence objectives under the current operation. To protect the public and prevent further crime and disorder of such a serious nature Police have submitted this summary review and request the immediate suspension of the premises licence in order to review the operation and security team.

This is deemed an appropriate and proportionate measure in view of the above evidence and to reduce any further threat to the public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder licensing objectives.

Signature of applicant

Signature:		Date:	a 7-4-17
Capacity:	POLICE CONSTABLE		

Contact details for matters concerning this application

Surname:	MCDONALD	First Names:	NICOLA
----------	----------	--------------	--------

PROTECTIVE MARKING

<b>Address:</b> Wembley POLICE STATION			
<b>Post town:</b>	WEMBLEY	<b>Post code:</b>	HAA 2HH
<b>Tel. No.:</b>	0208 7333206	<b>Email:</b>	NICOLA.MCDONALD@met.police.uk

Notes for guidance

1. A certificate of the kind mentioned in the form must accompany the application in order for it to be valid under the terms of the Licensing Act 2003. The certificate must explicitly state the senior officer's opinion that the premises in question are associated with serious crime, serious disorder or both.  
Serious crime is defined by reference to section 81 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000. In summary, it means:
  - conduct that amounts to one or more criminal offences for which a person who has attained the age of eighteen and has no previous convictions could reasonably be expected to be sentenced to imprisonment for a term of three years or more; or
  - conduct that amounts to one or more criminal offences and involves the use of violence, results in substantial financial gain or is conduct by a large number of persons in pursuit of a common purpose.Serious disorder is not defined in legislation, and so bears its ordinary English meaning.
2. Briefly describe the circumstances giving rise to the opinion that the above premises are associated with serious crime, serious disorder, or both.

Retention Period: 7 years  
MP 146/12

